



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/549,559	04/14/2000	Mats Cedervall	040000-702	9223
27045	7590	03/18/2004	EXAMINER	
ERICSSON INC. 6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR C11 PLANO, TX 75024			CHANG, EDITH M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	11

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/549,559

Applicant(s)

CEDERVALL ET AL.

Examiner

Edith M Chang

Art Unit

2634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, and 20-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 15-19 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments/Amendments with respect to claims 1 & 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6, 8-14, & 20-29 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner et al. (US Patent 5848105) in view of Liang et al. (US Patent 6314147 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 9-10, 24, & 28-29, except explicitly to specify using a model for colored noise Gardner et al. discloses all subject matter claimed: the system and its method of rejection of noise and interference from a received combination signal by estimation of the desired signal having in addition to noise and interference been distorted by a communication channel through which the modulated transmitted desired signal has been passed (Abstract; FIG.22), comprising the means and steps: a) receiving a signal as a combination of noise, interference and the distorted desired signal through one or more antennas (Abstract, column 4 lines 45-50); b) separating the received signal into a real and an imaginary part (column 13 lines 5-15; FIG.8-10); c) modeling the noise and interference component as a filtered process (column 4 lines 60-65, column 5 lines 35-40, column 17 lines 20-40; FIG. 24); d) forming an equation for

Art Unit: 2634

the received signal as a function of the desired signal distorted by the communication channel and the noise and interference component by utilizing the signal structure obtained in steps b) and c) (column 18 lines 22-60); e) selecting of values for the filter parameters in the equation (column 19 lines 1-10); and f) estimating the desired signal by calculation from the equation by means of the filter parameters selected in the foregoing step (column 19 lines 12-20). However Liang et al. teaches the AR filter processing the foregoing signals (column 9 lines 1-28, where the e_k processing foregoing signals x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{k-L} , column 10 lines 12-35, where the color noise n_i , and h_i , processing foregoing signals) the method and means (140 FIG.2) in the GMSK scenario (column 16 lines 9-15). As Gardner et al.'s method and apparatus for reducing interference in wireless communications systems such as correcting distortion affects from multipath signals/ISI (column 1 lines 5-20), at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the AR filter processing algorithm or the colored noise model taught by Liang in Gardener et al.'s adaptive filtering wherein Gardner et al. discloses the adaptation methods of the filtering structure to model the ISI channel to filtering out the time varied noise in the wireless communication systems to receive quality signal (column 1 lines 10-20).

Regarding **claims 2 & 25**, Gardner et al. discloses the means and its method of modeling the channel as a linear filter coefficient in the equation (column 9 lines 30-40, column 11 lines 55-60, column 12 lines 34-40).

Regarding **claim 3**, Gardner et al. discloses the method, the filter parameters and channel coefficient are calculated by means of a known training sequence from the equation formed in step d) by knowledge of the data sent by the signal in the training sequence (column 5 lines 41-

Art Unit: 2634

54, column 18 lines 15-20, column 22 lines 20-33) and by knowledge of the statistical properties of the noise component (column 11 lines 25-33), whereafter the desired signal is estimated mathematically by means of an equalization method.

Regarding **claims 4 & 26-27**, Gardner et al. discloses the method and means further to carry out the equalization by means of a sequence estimator using a mathematical algorithm for the estimation wherein the sequence estimator calculates/estimates the desired signal from the equation by using the filter parameters (column 17 lines 19-40).

Regarding **claim 5**, Gardner et al. discloses the number of the parameters is selected by an order estimation procedure (column 18 lines 23-30).

Regarding **claim 6**, Gardner et al. discloses the maximum likelihood method (MLSE), however does not further detail the method. Liang et al. teaches the MLSE whereby the value for the received signal giving the least error can be obtained without being forced to calculate the received signal for difference values of the desired signal (column 2 lines 50-57). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Liang et al.'s teaching in the Gardner et al.'s method/system to detail and improve the processing of a received signal (column 3 lines 33-36).

Regarding **claim 7**, Gardner et al. does not further detail the selecting the least of the error values of the received signal. However Liang et al. teaches the received signal is recreated by means of the channel estimate and the filter parameters and different values for the desired signal (100 FIG.2; column 3 lines 50-60), the recreated value of the received signal is compared with the true value for the received signal to obtain error values for the received signal, estimating the desired signal by selecting the one giving the least error for the received signal

Art Unit: 2634

(column 9 lines 13-28). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the Liang et al.'s teaching in the Gardner et al.'s method/system to detail and improve the processing of a received signal (column 3 lines 33-36).

Regarding **claim 8**, Gardner et al. discloses the method is repeated for each received symbol sequence (column 18 lines 25-30; FIG.20-22).

Regarding **claim 11**, inhering the limitations of claim 9, Liang et al. discloses that the number of filter parameters to be taken into consideration in the AR process are estimated adaptively by means of known algorithms (the minimum mean-square error MMSE).

Regarding **claim 12**, Gardner et al. discloses the received signal has been linearly modulated (column 18 lines 15-16, lines 22-25; 50 FIG.23, the first path 60 FIG.25) wherein the linearly modulated received signal can be handled.

Regarding **claims 13 & 14**, Gardner et al. discloses the received signal has been non-linearly modulated (Abstract GMSK or MSK signal), whereby after step a), the signal is de-rotated (64 FIG.25).

Regarding **claims 20 & 21**, Gardner et al. discloses the prefiltering is used before the filter process (column 5 lines 5-13) wherein the over sampling to decrease the time spread of the channel.

Regarding **claim 22**, Gardner et al. discloses in the linearly modulated systems, the information of the filter is taken into consideration in the demodulation process (column 12 lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2634

Regarding **claim 23**, Gardner et al. discloses the performance of the receiver is improved by decision direction, whereby the decisions of the received symbols is used in a re-estimating of the same (column 22 lines 20-32).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2634

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edith M Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-3416. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4800.

Edith Chang
March 7, 2004


CHIEH M. FAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER